

National report for the POPs Global Monitoring Plan

Suggested template

Table of content

Executive summary

1. Introduction
2. Organizational arrangements
3. National activities

- a. Air sampling (passive, active)

Coordination, methodology, sampling, analysis, results, trends analysis

UNEP Air Sample (PUFs) 2010-2011

- Air sample monitoring was done using polyurethane foams (PUF).
- The exposures of the PUFs were done in four (4) rounds between August 26, 2010 and July 8, 2011
- There were eight (8) PUF samples per round, four (4) of which were analysed for Persistent Organic Pollutants (POPs) by the designated local laboratory (Pesticide Research Laboratory, PRL) and the other four (4) samples were analysed by a designated laboratory in Spain (CSIC) for the same parameters.

UNEP PUF Sampling Schedule (2010-2011)

| Sampling | | Round Number | PUF samples analysed by Spain | PUF samples analysed by PRL |
|-------------------|---------------------|--------------|-------------------------------|---|
| Installation date | Time | | | |
| **August 26, 2010 | 9:24 am | 1 | 1, 2, 5 and 7 | 3, 4, 6, 8 and (the "reserve" was analysed by Bennin) |
| October 1, 2010 | 12:20 pm – 12:57 pm | 2 | 1, 2, 5 and 6 | 3, 4, 7, 8 and "reserve" |
| January 3, 2011 | 5:26 pm – 6:02 pm | 3 | 1, 2, 5 and 6 | 3, 4, 7, 8 and "reserve" |
| April 8, 2011 | 3:45 pm – 4:30 pm | 4 | 1, 2, 5 and 6 | 3, 4, 7, 8 and "reserve" |

- ** Due to late arrival of the PUFs from Spain, the first exposure of the PUFs was not done as proposed.
- (The proposed date was July 1, 2010). So, the first round of samples was only exposed for
- one (1) month instead of three (3) months.
- The final round of PUF exposure ended on July 8, 2011 between 3:30 pm and 3:45 pm .

Passive Air Sampler (PAS)

| Item Description | Status |
|------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Mounting of PAS | Completed (August 26, 2010) |
| Removal and analysis of PUFs | Completed (July 8, 2011) |



- Extraction and clean-up procedures
- Liquid-liquid extraction using standard SOP (GLIER/UNEP) with solvents such as methylene and hexane.
- Florisil clean-up
- Concentration of extract to about 1 mL.

Agilent 6890N Gas Chromatograph attached to 5973 Network Mass Selective Detector



UNEP PUF Results_Rounds #1&2_B

| | | |
|--------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| Matrix | AIR | |
| Date | 4/26/2011 | 9/27/2011 |
| No. | 1 | 2 |
| Sample-ID | JAM-1-I | JAM-1-II |
| Country | JAMAICA | JAMAICA |
| Fat (%) | | |
| Unit | ng filter ⁻¹ | ng filter ⁻¹ |
| Aldrin | 0.214 | 0.065 |
| Dieldrin | 0.179 | 0.0004 |
| Endrin | 0.014 | 0.003 |
| Sum drins | 0.407 | 0.068 |
| a-Chlordane | 0.0004 | 0.0004 |
| g-Chlordane | 0.115 | 0.026 |
| Oxychlordane | 0.0004 | 0.0004 |
| <i>cis</i> -Nonachlor | 0.149 | 0.0004 |
| <i>trans</i> -Nonachlor | 0.0004 | 0.0004 |
| Sum chlordanes | 0.265 | 0.028 |
| Sum chlordane equivalent | | |

UNEP PUF Results_Rounds #1&2_C

| | | |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| Matrix | AIR | AIR |
| Date | 4/26/2011 | 9/27/2011 |
| No. | 1 | 2 |
| Sample-ID | JAM-1-I | JAM-1-II |
| Country | JAMAICA | JAMAICA |
| Fat (%) | | |
| Unit | ng filter ⁻¹ | ng filter ⁻¹ |
| <i>o,p'</i> -DDT | 0.021 | 0.017 |
| <i>p,p'</i> -DDT | 0.068 | 0.0004 |
| <i>o,p'</i> -DDD | 0.0004 | 0.0004 |
| <i>p,p'</i> -DDD | 0.007 | 0.015 |
| <i>o,p'</i> -DDE | 0.0004 | 0.0004 |
| <i>p,p'</i> -DDE | 0.079 | 0.020 |
| Sum DDTs | 0.176 | 0.053 |
| Sum DDT equivalent | | |
| Heptachlor | 0.062 | 0.255 |
| <i>cis</i> -Heptachlorepoide | 0.0004 | 0.0004 |
| <i>trans</i> -Heptachlorepoide | 0.0004 | 0.034 |
| Sum heptachlor | 0.063 | 0.289 |
| Sum heptachlor equivalent | | |

UNEP PUF Results_Rounds #1&2_D

| | | |
|---------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| Matrix | AIR | AIR |
| Date | 4/26/2011 | 9/27/2011 |
| No. | 1 | 2 |
| Sample-ID | JAM-1-I | JAM-1-II |
| Country | JAMAICA | JAMAICA |
| Fat (%) | | |
| Unit | ng filter ⁻¹ | ng filter ⁻¹ |
| | | |
| HCB | 0.188 | 0.226 |
| | | |
| Mirex | 0.022 | 0.0004 |
| | | |
| Parlar 26 | | |
| Parlar 50 | | |
| Parlar 62 | | |
| Sum toxaphene | 0 | 0 |
| | | |
| a-HCH | 0.009 | 0.053 |
| b-HCH | 0.044 | 0.0004 |
| g-HCH | 0.0004 | 0.030 |

UNEP PUF Results_Rounds #1&2_E

| Matrix | AIR | AIR |
|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| Date | 4/26/2011 | 9/27/2011 |
| No. | 1 | 2 |
| Sample-ID | JAM-2-I | JAM-2-II |
| Country | JAMAICA | JAMAICA |
| Fat (%) | - | - |
| Unit | ng filter ⁻¹ | ng filter ⁻¹ |
| | | |
| PCB #28 | 6.20 | 6.51 |
| PCB #52 | 4.26 | 4.46 |
| PCB #101 | 2.55 | 2.51 |
| PCB #118 | 1.34 | 1.34 |
| PCB #138 | 1.49 | 2.29 |
| PCB #153 | 2.16 | 1.36 |
| PCB #180 | 0.560 | 0.794 |
| Sum PCB ₇ | 18.56 | 19.26 |
| PCB-105 | 0.0760 | 0.0760 |
| PCB-156 | 0.0206 | 0.0206 |

UNEP PUF Results Rounds #1&2 F

| | | |
|----------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| Matrix | AIR | AIR |
| Date | 4/26/2011 | 9/27/2011 |
| No. | 1 | 2 |
| Sample-ID | JAM-7-I | JAM-7-II |
| Country | JAMAICA | JAMAICA |
| Fat (%) | | |
| Unit | pg filter ⁻¹ | pg filter ⁻¹ |
| PCDD/PCDF | | |
| 2378-Cl ₄ DD | 1.75 | 3.77 |
| 12378-Cl ₅ DD | 9.03 | 14.20 |
| 123478-Cl ₆ DD | 5.98 | 7.74 |
| 123678-Cl ₆ DD | 11.24 | 16.56 |
| 123789-Cl ₆ DD | 10.06 | 14.51 |
| 1234678-Cl ₇ DD | 88.08 | 128.65 |
| Cl ₈ DD | 362.82 | 573.68 |
| 2378-Cl ₄ DF | 7.34 | 16.36 |
| 12378-Cl ₅ DF | 0.101 | 0.196 |
| 23478-Cl ₅ DF | 8.94 | 17.93 |
| 123478-Cl ₆ DF | 8.17 | 12.10 |
| 123678-Cl ₆ DF | 10.22 | 14.57 |
| 123789-Cl ₆ DF | 9.47 | 13.47 |
| 234678-Cl ₆ DF | 0.49 | 3.59 |
| 1234678-Cl ₇ DF | 45.57 | 68.77 |
| 1234789-Cl ₇ DF | 0.171 | 0.211 |
| Cl ₈ DF | 32.75 | 54.71 |

UNEP PUF Results_Rounds #1&2_G

| | | | |
|--|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| Matrix | AIR | AIR | AIR |
| Date | 4/11/2011 | 4/26/2011 | 9/27/2011 |
| No. | 1 | 1 | |
| Sample-ID | 0111/2011-IV | JAM-7-I | JAM-7-II |
| Country | JAMAICA | JAMAICA | JAMAICA |
| Fat (%) | | | |
| Unit | pg filter ⁻¹ | pg filter ⁻¹ | pg filter ⁻¹ |
| dl-PCB | | | |
| PCB 77 | 264.92 | 264.92 | 309.16 |
| PCB 81 | 44.16 | 44.16 | 32.74 |
| PCB 126 | 36.07 | 36.07 | 40.42 |
| PCB 169 | 21.21 | 21.21 | 9.02 |
| PCB 105 | 562.83 | 562.83 | 599.75 |
| PCB 114 | 39.55 | 39.55 | 46.89 |
| PCB 118 | 229.55 | 229.55 | 232.02 |
| PCB 123 | 139.67 | 139.67 | 144.80 |
| PCB 156 | 106.18 | 106.18 | 121.58 |
| PCB 157 | 29.58 | 29.58 | 30.62 |
| PCB 167 | 52.05 | 52.05 | 51.16 |
| PCB 189 | 17.03 | 17.03 | 10.50 |
| | | | |
| WHO ₁₉₉₈ -TEQ _{no-PCB} | 3.850 | 3.85 | 4.17 |
| WHO ₁₉₉₈ -TEQ _{mo-PCB} | 0.1831 | 0.183 | 0.199 |

| | | | |
|---|-------|-------|-------|
| WHO ₁₉₉₈ -TEQ _{PCB} | 4.033 | 4.03 | 4.37 |
| WHO ₁₉₉₈ -TEQ _{PCDD/PCDF/PCB} | 26.96 | 26.96 | 43.24 |

Preliminary results for analysis of PFOS, PFOA and PFHxS in air samples (PAS/PUF) under the UNEP/GMP2 project

| Item # | Sample ID | L-PFOS/(ng/L) | br-PFOS/(ng/L) | Sum PFOS/(ng/L) | L-PFOA/(ng/L) | L-PFHxS/(ng/L) |
|--------|----------------|---------------|----------------|-----------------|---------------|----------------|
| 1 | JAM (2017-I) | 118 | 67 | 185 | 125 | 0.0 |
| 2 | JAM (2017-II) | 206 | 93 | 300 | 222 | 0.0 |
| 3 | JAM (2017-III) | 154 | 23 | 176 | 218 | 0.0 |
| 4 | JAM (2017-IV) | 120 | 97 | 217 | 181 | 0.0 |

Please note that these are preliminary data for your country at best quality available but these may not correspond to the final reporting data for the following reasons: • We report the results as picogram in a single PUF (pg/PUF); from PUF-11. • We do not always know the exposure location and the exposure time (and not the temperature to convert the values into other units; e.g., m³). • We report the data for linear PFOS (L-PFOS), branched PFOS (br-PFOS) and the sum of the two (Sum PFOS). • In addition, we report the values for the new or possible future PFAS, namely PFOA and PFHxS. It shall be noted that we did not find quantifiable branched isomers; therefore, we report the linear isomer only. • The precursor compounds (FOSEs and FOSAs) were so low that they could not be quantified in a single PUF. We have combined 4 PUFs to obtain quantifiable numbers. These will be reported at a later stage. • There are still some samples from 2018/2019 in the lab, so that this reporting covers the 2017 samples only (exception is Philippines).

b. Water sampling

Coordination, methodology, sampling, analysis, results

Preliminary results for analysis of PFOS, PFOA and PFHxS in water samples under the UNEP/GMP2 project

| Item # | Sample ID | L-PFOS/(ng/L) | br-PFOS/(ng/L) | Sum PFOS/(ng/L) | L-PFOA/(ng/L) | L-PFHxS/(ng/L) |
|--------|--------------------|---------------|----------------|-----------------|---------------|----------------|
| 1 | JAM (2017-I) | 1.14 | 0.17 | 1.31 | 0.64 | 0.21 |
| 2 | JAM (2017-II) n | 1.03 | 0.34 | 1.37 | 0.82 | 0.42 |
| 3 | JAM (2017-III) | 1.31 | 0.76 | 2.07 | 0.69 | 0.24 |
| 4 | JAM (2017-IV) | 1.02 | 0.29 | 1.31 | 0.69 | 0.22 |
| 5 | JAM (2018-I) | 1.11 | 0.47 | 1.58 | 1.08 | 0.55 |
| 6 | JAM (2018-II) | 1.37 | 0.50 | 1.87 | 0.96 | 0.16 |
| 7 | JAM (2018-III) | 0.92 | 0.43 | 1.35 | 0.39 | 0.12 |
| 8 | JAM (2018-IV) | 0.47 | 0.37 | 0.84 | 0.39 | 0.17 |

Please note that these are preliminary data for your country at best quality available but these may not correspond to the final reporting data for the following reasons: • We report the data for linear PFOS (L-PFOS), branched PFOS (br-PFOS) and the sum of the two (Sum PFOS). • In addition, we report the values for the new or possible future PFAS, namely PFOA and PFHxS. It shall be noted that we did not find quantifiable branched isomers; therefore, we report the linear isomer only. • In the column "Sample ID", the first number refers to the year the sample was taken, the Roman numbers refer to the end of each quarter with I = 31 March, II = 30 June, III = 30 September, and IV = 31 December

Prepared by Dr. Heidelore Fiedler, Örebro University, School of Science and Technology, MTM Research Center, SE-701 82 Örebro, Sweden, E-mail: Heidelore.fiedler@oru.se

c. Human milk survey

Coordination, methodology, sampling, analysis, results

Historical comparison with previous rounds of human milk survey

UNEP Human Breast Milk Project 2010-2011

- The UNEP Human Breast Milk Project entailed the collection and analysis of fifty (50) human breast milk samples from urban and rural areas. Some of these samples were pooled samples. The total number of samples analysed was 21. The details of sample collection are outlined in table 1. The sample collection started on December 1, 2010 and concluded on January 15, 2011.
- A composite sample which comprised all the samples was prepared and sent to a designated laboratory in Spain (CSIC) for analysis of Persistent Organic Pollutants (POPs), dioxins and furans while several pooled samples were prepared according to the categories listed in table 2 and the samples were analysed by the designated local laboratory (Pesticide Research Laboratory, PRL) for the same parameters indicated above.

- **Table 1: Sample Classification**

| Location/ Description of Pool | Number of samples | Pool number/individual samples |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Rural mother | 11 | 11 |
| Vegetarian | 1 | 1 |
| Smoking/exposed to smoke | 2 | 2 |
| Older mothers | 14 | 1 |
| Teen mothers | 18 | 1 |
| Second time mothers | 3 | 1 |
| Fish eater | 1 | 1 |
| Inner city mothers | 15 | 1 |
| Uptown mothers | 3 | 1 |
| Composite sample | 1 | 1 |
| Total | | 21 |

Table 2

| SAMPLE NUMBER | SAMPLE CODES | CATEGORIES |
|----------------------|---------------------|---|
| 1 | JM-BM-01 | Fish Eater |
| 2 | JM-BM-02 | Smoker or worked in an environment with smoke |
| 3 | JM-BM-03 | Vegetarian |
| 4 | JM-BM-36 | Smoker or worked in an environment with smoke |
| 5 | JM-BM-37 | Smoker or worked in an environment with smoke |
| 6 | JM-BM-08 | Rural areas |
| 7 | JM-BM-12 | Rural areas |
| 8 | JM-BM-13 | Rural areas |
| 9 | JM-BM-22 | Rural areas |
| 10 | JM-BM-24 | Rural areas |

Table 2 (cont'd)

| SAMPLE NUMBER | SAMPLE CODES | CATEGORIES |
|----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| 11 | JM-BM-34 | Rural areas |
| 12 | JM-BM-41 | Rural areas |
| 13 | JM-BM-43 | Rural areas |
| 14 | JM-BM-49 | Rural areas |
| 15 | JM-BM-50 | Rural areas |
| 16 | JM-BM-P06 | Older mothers |
| 17 | JM-BM-P07 | Teenaged mothers |
| 18 | JM-BM-P08 | Second time mothers |
| 19 | JM-BM-P10 | Middle aged mothers |
| 20 | JM-BM-P11 | Inner city mothers |
| 21 | JM-BM-P12 | Uptown mothers |

Status of the Breast Milk Project

| Item Description | Status |
|--|-----------|
| Submit proposal for ethical approval by the Jamaican Ministry of Health. | Completed |
| Lobby with health clinics and hospital staff for further approval to access files and patient data in order to recruit eligible mothers for milk collection. | Completed |
| Distribution of questionnaires and breast milk collection. | Completed |
| Analysis of breast milk | Completed |
| Analysis of mirror samples (fish and cow's milk) | |
| Promoting of exclusive breastfeeding as well as informing mothers of the importance of breast milk to the growth and well being of the infant at the post natal clinics. | Completed |

Status of the Breast Milk Project (cont'd)

| Item Description | Status | |
|--|---|------------------------|
| Home visits (for mothers who could not be reached at the clinics). | Completed | |
| Laboratory Training | Completed Administrators: Two representatives from Spain (Drs. Benin and Encara) Participants: Sherine Whyte, Raymond Reid and two representatives from the National Environment and Planning Agency. | |
| Chemicals and glassware | Sample bottles: 100mL (120), 1000 mL (2) and 2000 mL (2) | Received |
| | Capillary Columns | Received in March 2011 |
| | Reference Standards | Received in March 2011 |
| | Soxhlet Apparatus and other requested items | Yet to be received |

Basic POPs Results

Sample type: Human milk

Country: Jamaica

Sample no. 11012943

Date: 27/04/11

Lipid content [%]: 4.4

| Parameter | Concentration g/g lipid weight |
|-----------------|--------------------------------|
| Aldrin | nd |
| Chlordane group | 2.7 |
| alpha-chlordane | nd |
| gamma-chlordane | nd |
| gamma-chlordane | 2.8 |
| Trans-nonachlor | 4.5 |
| Dieldrin | 2.4 |

Basic POPs Results (cont'd)

Sample type: Human milk

Country: Jamaica

Sample no. 11012943

Date: 27/04/11

Lipid content [%]: 4.4

| Parameter | Concentration g/g lipid weight |
|---------------------|---------------------------------------|
| DDT group | 173.0 |
| o,p'-DDD | nd |
| p,p'-DDD | nd |
| o,p'-DDE | nd |
| p,p'-DDE | 147.4 |
| o,p'-DDT | 1.0 |
| p,p'-DDT | 7.7 |
| Endrin group | nd |
| Endrin | nd |
| Endrin ketone | nd |

Basic POPs Results (cont'd)

Sample type: Human milk

Country: Jamaica

Sample no. 11012943

Date: 27/04/11

Lipid content [%]: 4.4

| Parameter | Concentration g/g lipid weight |
|--|--------------------------------|
| Heptachlor group | nd |
| Heptachlor | nd |
| Heptachlor-epoxide cis | nd |
| Heptachlor-epoxide trans | nd |
| Hexachlorobenzene | 3.5 |
| Hexachlorocyclohexane (HCH) group | |
| alpha-HCH | nd |
| beta-HCH | 1.5 |
| gamma-HCH | nd |

Basic POPs Results (cont'd)

Sample type: Human milk

Country: Jamaica

Sample no. 11012943

Date: 27/04/11

Lipid content [%]: 4.4

| Parameter | Concentration g/g lipid weight |
|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Parlar (toxaphene) group | 1.3 |
| Parlar 26 | 0.5 |
| Parlar 50 | 0.8 |
| Parlar 62 | nd |
| Mirex | nd |

Explanations: nd = not detected (< 0.5 ng/g fat)

1) sum of alpha-chlordane, beta-chlordane and oxychlordane, calculated as chlordane

2) sum of o,p'-DDT, p,p'-DDT, p,p'-DDE and p,p'-DDD, calculated as DDT

3) sum of endrin and endrin ketone, calculated as endrin

4) sum of heptachlor and heptachlor-epoxid (cis/trans), calculated as heptachlor

5) sum of parlar 26, parlar 50 and parlar 62

PCDD/Fs Results

Sample type: Human Milk

Country: Jamaica

Sample no. 11012943

Date: 27/04/11

Lipid content [%]: 4.4

| 2,3,7,8-substituted PCDF/PCDD | Concentration pg/g lipid weight |
|--------------------------------------|--|
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF | 0.417 |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 0.204 |
| 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 1.71 |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 1.19 |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 1.16 |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 0.457 |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 0.0352 |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 2.09 |
| OCDF 0.181 | 0.109 |

PCDD/Fs Results (cont'd)

Sample type: Human Milk

Country: Jamaica

Sample no. 11012943

Date: 27/04/11

Lipid content [%]: 4.4

| 2,3,7,8-substituted PCDF/PCDD | Concentration pg/g lipid weight |
|--------------------------------------|--|
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD | 0.436 |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 1.81 |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 1.38 |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 6.74 |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 2.00 |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 14.0 |
| OCDD | 56.9 |

Explanations:

< [LOQ] Below limit of quantification (LOQ)

PCB Results

Sample type: Human Milk

Country: Jamaica

Sample no. 11012943

Date: 27/04/11

Lipid content [%]: 4.4

| Indicator PCB | Concentration ng/g lipid weight |
|----------------------|--|
| PCB 28 | 0.955 |
| PCB 52 | 0.317 |
| PCB 101 | 0.688 |
| PCB 138 | 7.94 |
| PCB 153 | 10.1 |
| PCB 180 | 3.87 |
| Sum Indicator PCB | 23.8 |

PCB Results (cont'd)

Sample type: Human Milk

Country: Jamaica

Sample no. 11012943

Date: 27/04/11

Lipid content [%]: 4.4

| Mono-ortho PCB | Concentration ng/g lipid weight |
|-----------------------|--|
| PCB 105 | 1.94 |
| PCB 114 | 0.256 |
| PCB 118 | 5.75 |
| PCB 123 | 0.0780 |
| PCB 156 | 1.54 |
| PCB 157 | 0.362 |
| PCB 167 | 0.468 |
| PCB 189 | 0.0717 |

PCB Results (cont'd)

Sample type: Human Milk

Country: Jamaica

Sample no. 11012943

Date: 27/04/11

Lipid content [%]: 4.4

| Non-ortho PCB | Concentration ng/g lipid weight |
|----------------------|--|
| PCB 77 | 0.00447 |
| PCB 81 | 0.00174 |
| PCB 126 | 0.01303 |
| PCB 169 | 0.00526 |

Explanations:

< [LOQ] Below limit of quantification (LOQ)

d. National samples

Coordination, methodology, sampling, analysis, results

- e. International inter-calibration study
 Overview, national capacity, highlights

UNEP Inter-calibration 2010-2011 (Part 1)

| UNEP Intercalibration 2010 | | | | | |
|---|------------|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|
| | Ash | Sediment | Fish | Mothers' Milk | Standard 1 C |
| Code: | (ng/kg) | (ng/kg) | (µg/kg) | (µg/kg) | (ng/µl) |
| Date Received: October 26, 2010 | | | | | |
| Date Analyzed: January 13-18, 2011 | | | | | |
| (Wet) Weight received: 9.974g | | | | | |
| Lipid weight: | * | | 4.69E+06 | 5.50E+06 | * |
| % Lipids: | * | | 0.47 | 0.55 | * |
| Drins | | | | | |
| Aldrin | * | | 9.88 | 10.11 | |
| Dieldrin | * | | 10.02 | 9.98 | |
| Endrin | * | | 10.09 | 10.02 | |
| Sum Drins Lower Bound (ND = 0) | * | | | | |
| Sum Drins Upper Bound (ND = LOD) | * | | | | |
| Notes | | | | | |
| * not applicable | | | | | |
| All values should be reported in ng/kg or pg/µl | | | | | |
| ND: not detected < than value expected | | | | | |
| NA: not analyzed | | | | | |

UNEP Inter-calibration 2010-2011 (Part 2)

| UNEP Intercalibration 2010 | | | | | |
|---|---------|----------|----------|---------------|----------------|
| | Ash | Sediment | Fish | Mothers' Milk | Standard |
| Code: | (ng/kg) | (ng/kg) | (µg/kg) | (µg/kg) | 1 C (ng/µl) |
| Date Received: October 26, 2010 | | | | | |
| Date Analyzed: January 13-18, 2011 | | | | | |
| (Wet) Weight received: 9.974g | | | | | |
| Lipid weight: | * | | 4.69E+06 | 5.50E+06 | * |
| % Lipids: | * | | 0.47 | 0.55 | * |
| Chlordanes | | | | | |
| <i>trans</i> -Chlordane | * | | 10.06 | 10.14 | |
| <i>cis</i> -Chlordane | * | | 10.02 | 10.12 | |
| <i>trans</i> -Nonachlor | * | | 9.86 | 10.06 | |
| <i>cis</i> -Nonachlor | * | | 9.92 | 10.08 | |
| Oxychlordane | * | | 10.01 | 10.01 | |
| Heptachlor | * | | 10.04 | 9.96 | |
| <i>cis</i> -Heptachlorepoxyde | * | | 10.05 | 9.87 | |
| <i>trans</i> -Heptachlorepoxyde | * | | 10 | 9.82 | |
| Sum Chlordane Lower Bound (ND = 0) | * | | | | |
| Sum Chlordane Upper Bound (ND = LOD) | * | | | | |
| Notes | | | | | |
| * not applicable | | | | | |

All values should be reported in ng/kg or
pg/μl

ND: not detected < than value expected

NA: not analyzed

UNEP Inter-calibration 2010-2011 (Part 3)

| UNEP Intercalibration 2010 | | | | | |
|--|---------|----------|----------|---------------|--------------|
| | Ash | Sediment | Fish | Mothers' Milk | Standard 1 C |
| Code: | (ng/kg) | (ng/kg) | (μg/kg) | (μg/kg) | (ng/μl) |
| Date Received: October 26, 2010 | | | | | |
| Date Analyzed: January 13-18, 2011 | | | | | |
| (Wet) Weight received: 9.974g | | | | | |
| Lipid weight: | * | | 4.69E+06 | 5.50E+06 | * |
| % Lipids: | * | | 0.47 | 0.55 | * |
| DDTs | | | | | |
| <i>p,p'</i> -DDT | * | | 10.04 | 10.16 | |
| <i>o,p'</i> -DDT | * | | 10.07 | 10.15 | |
| <i>p,p'</i> -DDE | * | | 10.04 | 10.04 | |
| <i>o,p'</i> -DDE | * | | 10.04 | 10.07 | |
| <i>p,p'</i> -DDD | * | | 10.04 | 9.94 | |
| <i>o,p'</i> -DDD | * | | 10.04 | 10.01 | |
| Sum DDTs Lower Bound (ND = 0) | * | | | | |
| Sum DDTs Upper Bound (ND = LOD) | * | | | | |

| | | | | | |
|--|---|--|------|-------|--|
| Mirex | * | | 9.92 | 10.17 | |
| Hexachlorobenzene | | | 9.98 | 10.12 | |
| <p>Notes</p> <p>* not applicable</p> <p>All values should be reported in ng/kg or pg/μl</p> <p>ND: not detected < than value expected</p> <p>NA: not analyzed</p> | | | | | |

UNEP Inter-calibration 2010-2011 (Part 4)

| | UNEP Intercalibration 2010 | | | |
|------------------------------------|----------------------------|----------|----------|---------------|
| | Ash | Sediment | Fish | Mothers' Milk |
| Code: | (ng/kg) | (ng/kg) | (ug/kg) | (ug/kg) |
| Date Received: October 26, 2010 | | | | |
| Date Analyzed: January 14-18, 2011 | | | | |
| (Wet) Weight received: 9.974g | | | | |
| Lipid weight: | * | * | 4.69E+06 | 5.50E+06 |
| % Lipids: | * | * | 0.47 | 0.55 |
| Marker PCBs | | | | |
| PCB #28 | | | 2.008 | 2.012 |
| PCB #52 | | | 1.984 | 1.992 |
| PCB #101 | | | 2.01 | 2.018 |

| | | | | |
|---|--|--|-------|-------|
| PCB #118 | | | 2.002 | 2.009 |
| PCB #138 | | | 2.016 | 2.023 |
| PCB #153 | | | 1.984 | 1.998 |
| PCB #180 | | | 1.994 | 2.002 |
| Sum Marker PCB Lower Bound (ND = 0) | | | | |
| Sum Marker PCB Upper Bound (ND = LOD) | | | | |
| <p>Notes</p> <p>* not applicable</p> <p>All values should be reported in ng/kg or ng/μl</p> <p>ND: not detected < than value expected</p> <p>NA: not analyzed</p> | | | | |

4. Capacity building activities

a. Trainings

Overview, training targets and outputs

Benefits of the project

- Capacity building: training of analysts who will be able to train other analysts from the national and regional countries to analyse PUFs, breast milk, fish, water, soil, vegetable matter etc.
- Supply data for the global project.

Education of the targeted breast feeding mothers.

5. Involvement in other monitoring activities and networks

6. Sustainability plan

7. Results and achievements

Conclusion and recommendations

Challenges faced with the project

1. Mothers' reluctance to participate due to local folklore and superstitious beliefs. For example some mothers believe that by donating some of their breast milk will cause harm to them and their babies. Some declined for unknown reasons.

(2) Sample collection

- Late approval of project by Ministry of Health (Jamaica)
- Inaccurate address (only to find out upon reaching the address provided)
- Accurate information provided but declined to participate (this was found out only after travelling long times and distances).
- In certain urban communities, persons are not known by their registered names.
- Cooperation depends on the dress code of the sample collectors and the mode/type of transportation employed.
- Inadequate sample volumes

Instrument failures

(4) Pooling – there was not sufficient samples from most of the proposed categories e.g. diet, smoking habits, geographic locations etc.

(5) Communication – lack of clear communication between UNEP and the National Coordinator/Focal Point seemed to be the major cause for us not receiving the requested chemicals and glassware to start the project on time.

Things to be done and recommendations

- (1) If the outstanding requested items received e.g. the automatic Soxhlet apparatus, the analyses would have been completed more quickly. So, it would be good to invest in this apparatus (~US\$500).
- (2) Sampling should be extended to more rural areas in order to get data that is more representative of Jamaica. More samples should be collected from the other proposed categories along with education of breast feeding mothers on the subject in question.
- (3) Installation of two new GC-MS (one triple quad).

Acknowledgements

- UNEP
- National Coordinator for Jamaica: Professor Tara Dasgupta
- National Focal Point: Ministry of Health (Gillian Guthrie)
- Analyst: Raymond Reid
- All other participants e.g. doctors, nurses, breast feeding mothers etc.

ANNEX/ES

Tables, figures, pictures